Page: 1 Filed: 11/15/2018

Form 26 Rev. 10/16

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

	No. 19-1081(Lead) & 19-108	83		
National Veterans Legal Services Program, et al.				
	v.			
United	States			
	DOCKETING STATE			
of docketing. When the Unite	d States or its officer or agency iled with the court within 30 day	d filed with the court within 14 days of the date is a party, this Docketing Statement must be of docketing. All questions must be answered		
Name of the party you represent	See Attachment "A"			
Party is (select one)	⊠ Appellant/Petitioner	\square Cross-Appellant		
	☐ Appellee/Respondent	☐ Intervenor		
Tribunal appealed from and Case	No. U.S. District Court for the	e District of Columbia (No. 16-745)		
Relief sought on appeal Partia	Type of Case all affirmance and partial reversal specify) None (interlocutory appeal	Statutory challenge to government fee collection 1).		
Briefly describe the judgment/orde	er appealed from Partial denial & p	artial grant of summary judgment on cross motions		
This case is about the mean	ing of 28 U.S.C. § 1913 note; th	ne court adopted neither party's position.		
Nature of judgment (select one)				
☐ Final Judgment, 28 USC ☐ Rule 54(b)	1295			
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Interlocutory Order (spec	cify type) <u>Certified & accept</u>	Certified & accepted under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b)		
Other (explain; see Fed.)	Cir. R. 28(a)(5))			

Case: 19-1081 Document: 11 Page: 2 Filed: 11/15/2018 FORM 26 Docketing Statement Form 26				
Rev. 10/16				
Name and docket number of any related cases pending before this court plus the name of the writing judge				
if an opinion was issued. If none, please state none.				
If none, preuse state none.				
Brief statement of the issues to be raised on appeal				
Whether PACER fees are charged "only to the extent necessary," as required by 28 U.S.C. 1913 note.				
whether I ACER lees are charged only to the extent necessary, as required by 20 0.5.0. 1915 hote.				
Have there been discussions with other parties relating to settlement of this case? Yes No If "yes," when				
were the last such discussions?				
☐ Before the case was filed below?				
☐ During the pendency of the case below?				
☐ Following the judgment/order appealed from?				
If "yes," were the settlement discussions mediated? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$				
If "yes," were the settlement discussions mediated? \square Yes \square No				
If they were mediated, by whom?				
Do you believe that this case may be amenable to mediation? $\nabla Y_{es} = N_0$				
Please explain why you believe the case is or is not amenable to mediation.				
Thease explain why you believe the case is of is not amenable to mediation.				
The district court repeatedly suggested the parties mediate; plaintiffs agreed; the defendant refused.				
The district court repeatedly suggested the parties mediate, planting agreed, the defendant reluged.				
Provide any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the court's mediation program.				
2 10 1 140 that the content of the c				

FORM 26. Docketing Statement 1081

Document: 11

Page: 3 Filed: 11/15/2018

Form 26 Rev. 10/16

I certify that I filed Federal Circuit and	this Docketing Statement wit served a copy on counsel of re	h the Clerk of the United States ecord, this	Court of Appeals for the
15th	day of November		2018
by: _{ECF}		,	
	(m	anner of service)	
Deepak Gupta /s/ Deepak Gupta			
Nai	me of Counsel	Signat	cure of Counsel
Law Firm	Gupta Wessler PLLC		
Address	1900 L Street NW, Suite S	312	
City, State, ZIP	Washington, DC 20036		
Telephone Number	202-888-1741		
FAX Number	202-888-7792		
E-mail Address	deepak@guptawessler.com	n	
		Reset Fields	

Attachment A

National Veterans Legal Services Program

National Consumer Law Center

Alliance for Justice